Our understanding of the phenotypic features of the Marfan syndrome has evolved over the past several decades in the setting of increased availability of noninvasive imaging techniques, systematic evaluation of first-degree relatives, advances in genetic testing, and increased longevity. The 2010 revised Ghent diagnostic criteria require the cardinal features of aortic root aneurysm and ectopia lentis. Lacking one of these features, the diagnosis can be made if an \( \text{FBN1} \) mutation is present, if the systemic score (composed of numerous other phenotypic features) is \( \geq 7 \), or if a family member is definitely affected.
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Much of the existing literature on the phenotypic features of patients with Marfan syndrome predates use of standardized diagnostic criteria, such as the Berlin nosology and the original Ghent criteria. Furthermore, studies have generally

**Background**—The associations of age and sex with phenotypic features of Marfan syndrome have not been systematically examined in a large cohort of both children and adults.

**Methods and Results**—We evaluated 789 Marfan patients enrolled in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute GenTAC (Genetically Triggered Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Cardiovascular Conditions) Registry (53% male; mean age 31 [range: 1–86 years]). Females aged \( \geq 15 \) and males aged \( \geq 16 \) years were considered adults based on average age of skeletal maturity. Adults (\( n=606 \)) were more likely than children (\( n=183 \)) to have spontaneous pneumothorax, scoliosis, and striae but were comparable in revised Ghent systemic score, ectopia lentis, and most phenotypic features, including prevalence of aortic root dilatation. Prophylactic aortic root replacement and mitral valve surgery were rare during childhood versus adulthood (2% versus 35% and 1% versus 9%, respectively, both \( P<0.0001 \)). Adult males were more likely than females to have aortic root dilatation (92% versus 84%), aortic regurgitation (55% versus 36%), and to have undergone prophylactic aortic root replacement (47% versus 24%), all \( P<0.001 \). Prevalence of previous aortic dissection tended to be higher in males than females (25% versus 18%, \( P=0.06 \)); 44% of dissections were type B. Type B dissection was strongly associated with previous prophylactic aortic root replacement.

**Conclusions**—Pulmonary, skeletal, and aortic complications, but not other phenotypic features, are more prevalent in adults than children in Marfan syndrome. Aortic aneurysms and prophylactic aortic surgery are more common in men. Aortic dissection, commonly type B, occurs in an appreciable proportion of Marfan patients, especially in men and after previous prophylactic aortic root replacement. (Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017;10:e001647. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.116.001647.)
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been small,4,5 confined to either children4,8-10 or adults,11 limited to cardiovascular manifestations,4,6,9,11-13 or restricted to patients with FBN1 mutations not all of whom fulfill Ghent diagnostic criteria for Marfan syndrome.10,13,14

Thus, the association of both age and sex with the entire spectrum of phenotypic manifestations of Marfan syndrome based on current diagnostic criteria has not been systematically examined. We have used the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-sponsored National Registry of GenTAC (Genetically Triggered Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Cardiovascular Conditions) to provide comprehensive information on a large population of well-characterized patients with Marfan syndrome.

Methods

Study Population

The rationale and design of the GenTAC Registry have been previously described.15 In brief, GenTAC was established as a longitudinal observational cohort study of individuals with genetically triggered thoracic aortic aneurysm, including Marfan syndrome and 12 other conditions. Between 2006 and 2014, 3700 participants were enrolled in the original 6 centers (Johns Hopkins University, Baylor College of Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, University of Pennsylvania, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, and Weill Cornell Medicine) and 2 additional centers (National Institute of Aging-Harbor Hospital and Queen’s Medical Center) added in the second phase.16 Standardized data collection included clinical information related to phenotypic features and details of imaging studies, cardiovascular complications, and surgical interventions. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained for this study at each of the 8 participating GenTAC clinical centers. Individual informed consent was obtained from each GenTAC Registry patient. At the conclusion of registry enrollment (December 31, 2013), 789 patients with a definite diagnosis of Marfan syndrome based on the revised Ghent diagnostic criteria had been enrolled in the GenTAC database. Systemic score was calculated based on the presence and absence of specific phenotypic features. Ectopia lentis was diagnosed by slit lamp examination. The presence of cardiovascular features (aortic root dilatation, mitral valve prolapse, and aortic regurgitation) and cardiovascular complications (prophylactic aortic root replacement, mitral valve surgery, and aortic dissection) was determined from the Clinical Evaluation Form generated on each patient at the baseline evaluation. The presence of aortic root dilatation was confirmed by use of standardized age-appropriate criteria (Z scores and nomograms). Furthermore, agreement between echocardiographic sinuses of Valsalva diameter measurements made at clinical sites compared with the central Imaging Core (established during the second 5-year period of GenTAC) was quite high (intraclass correlation coefficient −0.93, mean of difference=0.05 cm).17 The GenTAC Phenotyping Core Laboratory at Johns Hopkins University reviewed available data to assure that Marfan syndrome diagnoses met revised Ghent criteria; participants with mutations in genes other than FBN1 were classified under the appropriate category and excluded from the present analyses. Females <15 and males <16 years of age were considered children based on mean age of skeletal maturity.18

Among the 789 Marfan patients, definite diagnosis in a first-degree relative was present in 393 (49.8%) and absent or unknown in 396. Although the presence of an FBN1 mutation is not required for the diagnosis of Marfan syndrome in the revised Ghent criteria and genetic testing was not systematically performed in the GenTAC Registry, 28.4% of our 789 patients underwent genetic analysis that identified an FBN1 mutation (135 [34.1%] of those without a family history and 89 [22.6%] of those with a family history of Marfan syndrome). Individuals with mutations identified in genes other than FBN1 were categorized under the appropriate alternative diagnosis and thus were excluded from the present analysis of patients with Marfan syndrome. The basis for the diagnosis of Marfan syndrome is categorized in Table 1.

Table 1. Basis for Diagnosis of Marfan Syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis for Diagnosis</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family history absent or unknown</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+EL</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+FBN1 mutation</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL+FBN1 mutation</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+systemic score ≥7</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+EL+FBN1 mutation</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+EL+systemic score ≥7</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+systemic score ≥7+FBN1 mutation</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history present</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic score ≥7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+EL</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+systemic score ≥7</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL+systemic score ≥7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD+EL+systemic score ≥7</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD indicates aortic disease (aortic root dilatation, aortic dissection, previous aortic surgery at time of GenTAC [Genetically Triggered Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Cardiovascular Conditions] enrollment); and EL, ectopia lentis.

Data Analyses

Demographic and phenotypic characteristics of children and adults and males and females with Marfan syndrome collected at the baseline evaluation were compared using independent samples t test or Mann–Whitney U test, as appropriate, for continuous variables and χ² test or Fisher exact test, as appropriate, for categorical variables. Unless otherwise indicated, the index of dispersion is the SD. To determine rates of cardiovascular complications (aortic and mitral surgeries and aortic dissection) in childhood and adulthood, the percentage of childhood surgeries was calculated using the entire population to include those adults whose surgery occurred during childhood. Such operations were then excluded from the adult population when rates of cardiovascular surgeries in adults were calculated. To explore further the age dependence of common skeletal, ocular, and cardiovascular features, children were divided into tertiles of age. For those conditions with a significant overall difference, prevalences were compared using pairwise analysis adjusted for multiple comparisons using Holm sequential Bonferroni test. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Comparison of Children and Adults With Marfan Syndrome

The 183 children and 606 adults with Marfan syndrome are compared in Table 2. The major diagnostic criteria (aortic root dilatation, ectopia lentis, and systemic score) were similar in children and adults. Components of the systemic score that occurred more commonly in adults included spontaneous pneumothorax, scoliosis, and skin striae. Aortic complications (prophylactic aortic root replacement and aortic dissection) were rare during childhood. Among the entire group, only 18 underwent prophylactic aortic root replacement during childhood (2% versus 35% during adulthood, P<0.0001). There was only 1 aortic dissection during childhood—a type B dissection in a 15-year-old male, whereas 130 adults (21%) experienced
no hallucination.

Table 2. Comparison of Phenotypic Features in Children and Adults With Marfan Syndrome*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>606</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrollment, y (range)</td>
<td>8.6±3.9 (1–15)</td>
<td>37.5±14.3 (15–86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>17.0±4.4</td>
<td>24.5±5.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic root dilatation (%)</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopia lentis (%)</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic score, median (IQR; range)</td>
<td>7 (3–9; 0–13)</td>
<td>7 (4–9; 0–15)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnodactyly (%)</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectus carinatum (%)</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectus excavatum (%)</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous pneumothorax (%)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoliosis (%)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyphosis (%)</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin striae (%)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve prolapse (%)</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Categorical variables are expressed as percentage and compared using the χ² test.

Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD and were compared using unpaired t test with the exception of systemic score which is expressed as median and IQR and was compared using Mann–Whitney test. IQR indicates Interquartile range.

root dilatation was very similar across tertiles of childhood age. Although there were increases in ectopia lentis and pectus deformities between the first and second tertiles of age, the differences were not statistically significant. In contrast, prevalences of arachnodactyly, scoliosis, skin striae, and mitral valve prolapse steadily increased with significant differences between each tertile.

Comparison of Males and Females With Marfan Syndrome

The 420 males and 369 females with Marfan syndrome are compared in Table 3. Ages were similar, but all parameters of body size were larger in males. Average systemic score was higher in females because of higher prevalences of arachnodactyly and scoliosis. Although aortic root dilatation is exceedingly common in Marfan syndrome, it was present in a significantly higher percentage of males than females. In contrast, mitral valve prolapse, less common overall, was present more frequently in females. Results were comparable when the analyses were limited to adult men and women.

Cardiovascular Complications in Adult Males and Females With Marfan Syndrome

Ages were similar in the 316 adult males and 290 adult females (Table 4). Similar to the entire group, aortic root dilatation was more common in men than women as was aortic regurgitation. Previous prophylactic aortic root surgery was 2-fold more common in men than women (47% versus 24%; odds ratio, 2.8; 95% confidence interval, 2.0–4.0; P<0.001), and aortic dissection occurred more frequently in men than women. Of note, in both men and women, about 44% of dissections were type B. Prophylactic proximal aortic surgery had been performed in a higher proportion of patients who suffered type B dissection (60% versus 34% in those without type B dissection, P<0.0001). In 85% of cases, prophylactic proximal aortic surgery predated the distal dissection. Age at time of type B dissection was not significantly different in those with and without prophylactic proximal aortic surgery (35.7±11.8 versus 38.6±11.2 years, respectively, P=0.4). Although surgery and dissection occurred earlier in

Figure 1. Prevalences of aortic root dilatation, ectopia lentis, and pectus deformities according to tertiles of childhood age.
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men than women (32 versus 35 years for aortic surgery, 35 versus 36 years for type A dissection, and 35 versus 40 years for type B dissection, respectively), these differences did not reach statistical significance.

Although mitral valve prolapse was much less common in men (41% versus 65%; odds ratio, 0.4; 95% confidence interval, 0.3–0.5; P<0.0001), there was no sex difference in mitral valve surgery, performed, on average, 6 years earlier in women than men.

The distribution of prophylactic aortic surgery, mitral valve surgery, and aortic dissections is depicted in Figure 3. Mean and median ages were similar for all outcomes. Age at prophylactic aortic root surgery was younger than that at time of type A dissection, whereas average age at type A dissection was younger than at time of type B dissection. The overwhelming majority of aortic dissections and surgeries occurred before the sixth decade of life.

Discussion

Our study is the largest to date to describe phenotypic features of Marfan patients using current diagnostic criteria. In addition, our analyses include both cardiovascular and noncardiovascular manifestations, provide sex-related comparisons, and examine phenotypic features over a wide age range.

Our findings indicate that aortic root dilatation, arguably the most important prognostic feature, is present from an early age. Earlier studies containing adequate numbers of Marfan patients for meaningful analyses (>100) have varied with regard to the influence of age on the presence of aortic root dilatation. An early study from the Cornell population of 113 children (defined as <16 years in girls and <18 years in boys) and adults noted comparable prevalences of aortic root dilatation in children and adults (76 versus 81%). Similarly, in a French population of 243 patient with FBN1 mutations, aortic root dilatation was present in 76% of adults and 86% of children (defined as age <18 years). In a subsequent publication from the same group, expanded to include non-French cohorts, among 965 patients with FBN1 mutations, 73% of whom fulfilled Ghent diagnostic criteria, ascending aortic dilatation increased with age. Only 53% of their population had aortic enlargement by the age of 30 compared with 96% by the age of 60. Other than differences between centers in the diagnosis of aortic dilatation, the explanation for the discrepant findings in the 2 studies is

Table 3. Comparison of Phenotypic Features in Males and Females With Marfan Syndrome*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>PValue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrollment, y</td>
<td>30.7±17.7 (1–86)</td>
<td>31.0±17.6 (1–76)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>179.6±25.7</td>
<td>170.0±18.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>79.5±31.5</td>
<td>65.3±22.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area, m²</td>
<td>1.97±0.52</td>
<td>1.74±0.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.5±6.2</td>
<td>21.9±5.8</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic root dilatation</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopia lentis (%)</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic score, median (IQR; range)</td>
<td>6 (3–8; 0–14)</td>
<td>7 (4–9; 0–15)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachnodactyly (%)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectus carinatum (%)</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectus excavatum (%)</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous pneumothorax (%)</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoliosis (%)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyphosis (%)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin striae (%)</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve prolapse (%)</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD and were compared using unpaired t test with the exception of systemic score which is expressed as median and IQR and was compared using Mann–Whitney test.
likewise occurred at a slightly younger age than in women. It
surgery in males.
of body size and may partially account for the higher rate of
recommendation for prophylactic aortic root replacement has tradi-
tionally been based on a single aortic dimension independent
of age.10 Although the composite systemic score was likewise
comparable in children and adults, important components dif-
fered. Those features that might be expected to develop or
worsen over time, for example, spontaneous pneumothorax,
scoliosis, and skin striae, were more common in adults. The
time dependence of their occurrence is supported by progres-
sive increases in prevalences over the span of childhood noted
in Figure 2. These findings are very similar to the Marfan chil-
dren described by Steneur et al10 in whom pectus deformity,
araechnodactyly, scoliosis, and striae (but not aortic dilata-
tion or ectopia lentis) all increased across quartiles of childhood
(up to age 17).

Females in our study had higher systemic scores than
males because of higher prevalences of arachnodactyly and
scoliosis. The only previous sex-related comparison of non-
cardiovascular phenotypic features10 likewise noted a sig-
nificantly higher prevalence of scoliosis in females (72% versus
50%) with a trend for arachnodactyly likewise to be more
common in females (78% versus 66%). A much smaller

not apparent because the authors state that the findings were
similar when analyses were restricted to the 543 patients who
fulfilled diagnostic criteria for Marfan syndrome. However,
a separate study from France limited to children (<18 years
of age) reported an 80% prevalence of aortic root dilatation
with no differences between quartiles of increasing age.10

The male predominance of aortic aneurysms in Marfan
syndrome noted in this study has been previously reported.13,19
In the Cornell study, aortic root dilatation was present in
85% of males versus 73% of women.19 In the multinational
cohort of FBN1-positive patients, ascending aortic enlarge-
ment developed earlier in males (57% versus 50% by age 30
in females), although overall prevalences were not reported.13
More importantly, our study documents the male predomi-
nance of complications of aortic aneurysm, including aortic
regurgitation, need for prophylactic aortic surgery, and aortic
dissection. Males underwent aortic root replacement at twice
the rate of females and at a slightly earlier age. The recom-
mandation for prophylactic aortic root replacement has tradi-
tionally been based on a single aortic dimension independent
of body size and may partially account for the higher rate of
surgery in males.

Aortic dissection was also more common among men and
likewise occurred at a slightly younger age than in women. It
is noteworthy that the average age at prophylactic aortic sur-
gery or type A dissection (32–36 years) noted in our study
approximates the average age of death (32 years) in the semi-
inal report of Murdoch et al20 from the presurgical era. In the
multinational study, male sex was a predictor of a composite
outcome of aortic surgery and aortic dissection.13 The over-
all prevalence of type B dissection in our adult population
(9.7%) is quite similar to a recent report from the Netherlands
(9.0%).21 Likewise, similar are the proportion of type B dis-
sections in patients with previous prophylactic aortic root
replacement (60% and 56%), mean age at time of dissection
(36 years in both), and the male predominance of type B dis-
section (59% and 52%). Potential explanations for occurrence
of type B dissection after prophylactic proximal aortic surgery
include a more adverse phenotype or changes in flow dynam-
ics and shear stress because of the rigid proximal conduit.

The presence of mitral valve prolapse was comparable
in our children and adults with similar percentages of males
and females; however, females were more likely to have
mitral prolapse than males (65% versus 43%). Among 166
Marfan patients <22 years of age, Pyeritz et al20 noted compa-
rable prevalences of mitral prolapse (66% in males and 71% in
females). However, in the Cornell study of children and
adults,18 mitral prolapse was more common in females (67%
versus 48%), prevalences very similar to those in the large
GenTAC population. The age dependence of the phenotypic
expression of mitral prolapse in the non-Marfan population,22
as well as the increase in mitral prolapse noted in tertiles of
childhood age in this study, may account for differences in
studies limited to pediatric patients. Although surgery for
mitral valve prolapse was not more common in females in
our study, it occurred, on average, 6 years earlier in females.

Ectopia lentis occurred with equal frequency in chil-
dren and adults (48%) and in males and females (48%). This
important phenotypic manifestation is unlikely to be under-
diagnosed given its impact on visual acuity and is a com-
mon portal for diagnosis of underlying Marfan syndrome.
Among 259 children meeting Ghent criteria and having an
FBN1 mutation, ectopia lentis was more common than in the
GenTAC cohort (=70%) but did not increase across quartiles
of age.10 Although the composite systemic score was likewise
comparable in children and adults, important components dif-
fered. Those features that might be expected to develop or
worsen over time, for example, spontaneous pneumothorax,
scoliosis, and skin striae, were more common in adults. The
time dependence of their occurrence is supported by progres-
sive increases in prevalences over the span of childhood noted
in Figure 2. These findings are very similar to the Marfan chil-
dren described by Steneur et al10 in whom pectus deformity,
araechnodactyly, scoliosis, and striae (but not aortic dilata-
tion or ectopia lentis) all increased across quartiles of childhood
(up to age 17).

Table 4. Comparison of Cardiovascular Complications in
Adult Males and Females With Marfan Syndrome*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at enrollment, y (range)</td>
<td>37.9±14.1 (16–86)</td>
<td>37.1±14.7 (15–76)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic root dilatation (%)</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>2.0 (1.2–3.3)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic regurgitation ≥mild (%)</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>2.2 (1.6–3.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophylactic aortic surgery (%)</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>2.8 (2.0–4.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at aortic surgery, y (range)</td>
<td>32.3±12.4 (10–71)</td>
<td>34.6±14.5 (8–70)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any aortic dissection (%)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0–2.2)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A aortic dissection (%)</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.4 (0.9–2.4)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at dissection, y (range)</td>
<td>34.9±10.5 (16–53)</td>
<td>36.1±10.8 (19–59)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B aortic dissection (%)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8–2.3)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at dissection, y (range)</td>
<td>34.7±10.6 (15–53)</td>
<td>39.6±12.3 (20–65)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve prolapse (%)</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3–0.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral valve surgery (%)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6–1.6)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at mitral surgery, y (range)</td>
<td>38.8±15.1 (10–70)</td>
<td>32.7±16.8 (8–70)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorical variables are expressed as percentage and compared using the χ² test. CI indicates confidence interval.

*Continuous variables are expressed as mean±SD and compared using unpaired t test.
Figure 3. Age distributions of prophylactic aortic surgery (A), mitral valve surgery (B), type A dissection (C), and type B dissection (D).
sample size in the earlier study (n=113) may account for differences in overall prevalences (and statistical significance) compared with this study.

A potential limitation of our study is the lack of systematic genetic profiling of the cohort. Unfortunately, GenTAC did not include funding for genotyping; US health insurance often does not cover genetic testing. However, any participants whose clinical genetic testing identified mutations in genes other than FBN1 had their diagnosis changed from Marfan syndrome to the appropriate alternative diagnosis. Furthermore, the GenTAC Phenotyping Core Laboratory at Johns Hopkins University provided standardization and validation of diagnoses. Additional validity of the accuracy of the revised clinical diagnostic criteria is provided by a recent study, wherein 96 of 100 patients meeting clinical diagnostic criteria for Marfan syndrome were subsequently found to have an underlying FBN1 mutation or deletion using next-generation sequencing. The presence of cardiovascular features such as aortic root dilatation and mitral prolapse was based on summary data provided by participating centers as opposed to systematic review by an imaging core. The sites involved in GenTAC were selected as referral centers for patients with genetically mediated thoracic aortic aneurysms with expertise in cardiovascular imaging, thereby substantially decreasing, if not eliminating, the likelihood of inaccurate measurements and diagnoses. As previously reported, agreement between echocardiographic sinuses of Valsalva diameter measurements made at clinical sites compared with the central Imaging Core (established during the second 5-year period of GenTAC) was quite high (r=0.93, mean difference=0.05 cm). Although the potential for survivor bias is unavoidable, life expectancy now approaches that of the normal population in the current era of noninvasive detection of aortic disease, aggressive family screening, and effective aortic and mitral surgery. At present, the major impediment to survival is failure to diagnose underlying Marfan syndrome and acute aortic dissection necessitating life-saving surgery.

In conclusion, our study describes the largest cohort to date of Marfan patients using current diagnostic criteria. We think our study provides a robust description of the disease spectrum and its complications that will help inform providers and patients in understanding the clinical history of Marfan syndrome in the current treatment era with its increased life expectancy. Important observations include the lack of age dependence of the presence of aortic root dilatation (but not its severity), the higher rate of aortic complications in males, and the strong predilection for type B aortic dissections to occur in the setting of previous prophylactic aortic root replacement.
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Our understanding of the phenotypic features of the Marfan syndrome has evolved in the setting of increased availability of noninvasive imaging techniques, systematic evaluation of first-degree relatives, advances in genetic testing, and increased longevity. However, much of the literature on the phenotypic features of Marfan syndrome predates use of standardized diagnostic criteria, and the impact of both age and sex on the entire spectrum of phenotypic manifestations of Marfan syndrome using current diagnostic criteria has not been systematically examined. Among 789 Marfan patients (53% male; mean age 31 [range: 1–86 years]) enrolled in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-sponsored National Registry of GenTAC (Genetically Triggered Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Cardiovascular Conditions), we found that the cardinal phenotypic features (systemic score, ectopia lentis, and aortic root dilatation) were comparable in children and adults. However, adults were more likely to manifest disease complications, including spontaneous pneumothorax, need for prophylactic aortic root replacement, and aortic dissection. Furthermore, adult males were more likely than females to have aortic root dilatation and to have undergone prophylactic aortic root replacement. Forty-four percent of all aortic dissections were type B, and type B dissection was strongly associated with previous prophylactic aortic root replacement. In addition to providing a robust description of the disease spectrum and its complications, our findings have implications for screening strategies insofar because diagnosis should be apparent at an early age. The strong association of type B dissection with previous prophylactic proximal aortic surgery emphasizes the need for continued aortic surveillance, and the cause of this association requires further investigation.
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