

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Letter by Aw et al Regarding Article, "Clinical Outcomes and Sustainability of Using CYP2C19 Genotype-Guided Antiplatelet Therapy After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention"

To the Editor:

We read the recent studies by Lee et al<sup>1</sup> and the IGNITE network (Implementing Genomics in Practice) with much interest and wish to share findings from our cohort of 247 Asians in Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, Singapore. To our knowledge, we are the first Asian group to demonstrate similar interventional cohort findings as those of Lee et al<sup>1</sup> and Cavallari et al from the IGNITE network.<sup>2</sup>

We conducted a single-center, prospective, open label study in 247 Asian patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention and received dual antiplatelet therapy consisting of aspirin and clopidogrel. At the time of design, we intended to switch subjects with high-on-treatment response from clopidogrel to prasugrel. Additional blood samples were collected for genotyping. High-on-treatment response was defined then as P2Y12 reaction units >230 using the VerifyNow meter. The rate of major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) defined by Cutlip classification did not differ between switchers and nonswitchers (4.9% versus 5.3%;  $P=1.0$ ) while clinically-significant bleeding defined by Bleeding Academic Research Consortium classification was significantly greater in the high-on-treatment response group, who were switched to prasugrel (12.2% versus 2.9%;  $P=0.021$ ). Platelet reactivity testing was only able to pick up 20% and 30% of the poor metabolizers (PM) and intermediate metabolizers (IM) subjects to be switched, creating the opportunity of analyzing the impact of switching in PM and IM subjects who were kept on clopidogrel.

*CYP2C19\*2*, *CYP2C19\*3*, and *CYP2C19\*17* mutations were assayed and classified into *CYP2C19* phenotypes according to the 2013 Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines. The prevalence rates of rapid metabolizer, extensive metabolizer, IM, and PM phenotypes were 4.9%, 33.2%, 47.4%, and 14.6%, respectively. Comparing *CYP2C19* loss-of-function (LOF) mutation rates to published rates for white and black ancestry, we observed a 62% prevalence ( $n=153$ ); more than twice that in the comparators. Furthermore, 15% were PM ( $n=36$ ), a 5-fold greater prevalence than that of white and black subjects.<sup>3</sup> In their meta-analysis, Sorich et al<sup>4</sup> reported an over 50% of LOF mutations in Asians. This implies that the problem of increased risk of MACE could be larger in our local Asian population.

We used Cox proportional hazards regression, adjusting for age, ethnicity, sex, diabetes mellitus, smoking, calcium channel blocker use, and proton pump inhibitor use. The risk of MACE was >30-fold greater for PMs on clopidogrel as compared with those with no LOF allele (rapid metabolizer+extensive metabolizer) on clopidogrel ( $P=0.004$ ). Albeit lower for PMs, the risk of MACE for IMs kept on clopidogrel was 9-fold greater when compared with patients with no LOF allele on clopidogrel ( $P=0.042$ ). Other than *CYP2C19* phenotype as a predictor,

Jernice Wan Xin Aw, BSc  
(Hons)  
Doreen Su-Yin Tan,  
Pharm D  
Lih Ling Goh, PhD

© 2018 American Heart Association, Inc.  
<http://circgenetics.ahajournals.org>

the Malay ethnic group, diabetes mellitus, and proton pump inhibitor (omeprazole) use were significant predictors of MACE.

A larger local study in healthy volunteers showed similar *CYP2C19* phenotype proportions, affirming that the IM and PM phenotype prevalence observed in our cohort was not from a play of chance.<sup>5</sup> With the high prevalence of at least 1 LOF allele in our local population of 62%, it seems probable that *CYP2C19* genotype-guided antiplatelet therapy would be associated with improved clinical outcomes.

Future studies should be designed around the effectiveness and safety of the more potent alternative P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibitors to be used in PMs and potentially in IMs subjects within a larger sample size and whether it would be associated with an increased risk of bleeding as suggested by our study. The out of pocket costs of ticagrelor and prasugrel in our local setting is 30-fold that of clopidogrel to our patients. It is imperative that a health technology assessment of routine genotyping on a background of MACE and bleeding expenditures be performed.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

### Affiliations

Kho Teck Puat Hospital, Singapore, Singapore (J.W.X.A., D.S.-Y.T.). Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore (L.L.G.).

### Disclosures

None.

## REFERENCES

1. Lee CR, et al. Clinical outcomes and sustainability of using *CYP2C19* genotype-guided antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circ Genom Precis Med*. 2018;11:e002069. doi: 10.1161/CIRCGEN.117.002069.
2. Cavallari LH, et al; IGNITE Network. Multisite investigation of outcomes with implementation of *CYP2C19* genotype-guided antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11:181–191. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2017.07.022.
3. Cavallari LH. Personalizing antiplatelet prescribing using genetics for patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. 2017;15:581–589. doi: 10.1080/14779072.2017.1355236.
4. Sorich MJ, et al. *CYP2C19* genotype has a greater effect on adverse cardiovascular outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention and in Asian populations treated with clopidogrel: a meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*. 2014;7:895–902. doi: 10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.114.000669.
5. Goh LL, et al. Analysis of genetic variation in *CYP450* genes for clinical implementation. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0169233. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169233.

**Letter by Aw et al Regarding Article, "Clinical Outcomes and Sustainability of Using CYP2C19 Genotype-Guided Antiplatelet Therapy After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention"**

Jernice Wan Xin Aw, Doreen Su-Yin Tan and Liuh Ling Goh

*Circ Genom Precis Med.* 2018;11:

doi: 10.1161/CIRCGEN.118.002253

*Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2018 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 1942-325X. Online ISSN: 1942-3268

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://circgenetics.ahajournals.org/content/11/7/e002253>

**Permissions:** Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

**Reprints:** Information about reprints can be found online at:  
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

**Subscriptions:** Information about subscribing to *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics* is online at:  
<http://circgenetics.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>