The Importance of Genetic Counseling, DNA Diagnostics and Cardiologic Family Screening in Left Ventricular Noncompaction Cardiomyopathy
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Abstract:

Background - Left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) is a distinct cardiomyopathy featuring a thickened bilayered left ventricular wall consisting of a thick endocardial layer with prominent intertrabecular recesses with a thin, compact epicardial layer. Similarly to hypertrophic (HCM) and dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), LVNC is genetically heterogeneous and was recently associated with mutations in sarcomere genes. In order to contribute to the genetic classification for LVNC a systematic cardiologic family study was performed in a cohort of 58 consecutively diagnosed and molecularly screened patients with isolated LVNC (49 adults and nine children).

Methods and Results - Combined molecular testing and cardiologic family screening revealed that in 67% LVNC is genetic. Cardiologic screening with electrocardiography and echocardiography of 194 relatives from 50 unrelated LVNC probands revealed familial cardiomyopathy in 32 families (64%), including LVNC, HCM and DCM. Sixty-three percent of the relatives newly diagnosed with cardiomyopathy were asymptomatic. Of 17 asymptomatic relatives with a mutation, nine had NCCM. In eight carriers non-penetrance was observed. Explaining that 44% (14/32) of familial disease remained undetected by ascertainment of family history prior to cardiologic family screening. The molecular screening of 17 genes identified mutations in 11 genes in 41% (23/56) tested probands; in 35% (17/48) adults and 6/8 children. In eighteen families single mutations were transmitted in an autosomal dominant mode. Two adults and two children were compound or double heterozygous for two different mutations. One adult proband had three mutations. In 50% (16/32) of familial LVNC the genetic defect remained inconclusive.

Conclusion - LVNC is predominantly a genetic cardiomyopathy with variable presentation ranging from asymptomatic to severe. Accordingly, the diagnosis of LVNC requires genetic counseling, DNA diagnostics and cardiologic family screening.
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Introduction

Left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) is a cardiomyopathy featuring segmental thickening of the left ventricular (LV) wall with a thin, compact, epicardial layer and an excessively thickened endocardial layer with prominent, deep intertrabecular recesses. Application of the echocardiographic diagnostic criteria for LVNC as postulated by Jenni together with advances in cardiological imaging techniques have enhanced awareness and diagnosis of LVNC. Consequently LVNC was incorporated in the most recent classification of cardiomyopathies as a genetic disease.

Prevalence of LVNC, estimated from retrospective studies, ranges from 4.5 to 26 per 10,000 adult patients referred for echocardiography. LVNC was diagnosed in 3.7% of patients with a LV ejection fraction ≤ 45% suggesting that LVNC might not be a rare disorder in adults. In pediatric series LVNC is the most frequent cardiomyopathy after DCM and HCM, comprising approximately 9% of childhood cardiomyopathies. Clinical features include heart failure, arrhythmias and thrombo-embolic events. Familial disease was estimated to occur in approximately 18 - 50% of adults with isolated LVNC, mostly consistent with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. Intrafamilial phenotypic variability, including LVNC, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) and/or dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) suggests that these cardiomyopathies may be part of a broader cardiomyopathy spectrum. The first association of isolated LVNC with mutations in the cardiac β-Myosin Heavy Chain gene (MYH7) was reported in two unrelated Dutch families. LVNC was also associated with mutations in other sarcomere genes (cardiac Troponin T (TNNT2) and cardiac α-Actin (ACTC1)) in 17% of 63 adult LVNC patients. Linking LVNC to defects in the MYH7, TNNT2 and ACTC1 genes encoding sarcomere components that are frequent causes of HCM and DCM, provides additional evidence for a shared genetic susceptibility to LVNC, HCM, and DCM. Reports of mutations in Lamin A/C (LMNA), α-dystrobrevin (DTNA), Cypher/ZASP or Lim Domain Binding 3 (LDB3) and Sodium Channel Type V alpha (SCN5A) expanded the
genetic spectrum of LVNC.\textsuperscript{18-20} Other genetic causes, characteristically in complex childhood LVNC with congenital heart defects or (metabolic) syndromes, include Barth syndrome with mutations in the Tafazzin gene (\textit{TAZ})\textsuperscript{21, 22} and rare chromosomal defects and loci.\textsuperscript{23-30} The current study investigates the heredity of LVNC, the spectrum of clinical features and the genetic etiology of LVNC by combining systematic cardiological family studies with extensive molecular analysis.

\textbf{Methods}

\textbf{Study population}

The study comprised 58 unrelated patients with isolated LVNC; 53 were diagnosed consecutively from 2005-2008 in the Cardiogenetics clinic of the Erasmus MC in Rotterdam, and 5 in other tertiary referral centers in the Netherlands. All fulfilled the four echocardiographic diagnostic Jemm criteria: a) excessively thickened LV myocardial wall with a two-layered structure comprising a compact epicardial layer (C) and a noncompacted endocardial layer (NC) of prominent trabeculations and deep intertrabecular recesses; b) maximal end-systolic NC/C ratio $> 2$ measured at the parasternal short axis; c) color-Doppler evidence of deep perfused intertrabecular recesses; d) absence of coexisting cardiac anomalies.\textsuperscript{1}

Subsequently, all patients were referred for genetic counseling, DNA analysis and to initiate family studies as depicted in Figure 1.

\textbf{Cardiologic family study and molecular analysis}

Family studies were initiated by ascertainment of family histories and inviting initially first- and second degree relatives for genetic counseling. When possible ‘cascade screening’ for cardiomyopathies was pursued. Participation of 50 families of probands allowed inclusion of 194 relatives (Table 1). Relatives were referred for cardiologic screening, unless a familial pathogenic mutation had been detected. In that case only mutation positive individuals and relatives refusing DNA testing were examined cardiologically. Informed consent was requested
to review medical records from 31 relatives who had cardiologic examinations in other hospitals. Similarly, information was retrieved from the medical records of 13 deceased relatives reported to be affected. Details of the family studies of the probands identified with a genetic defect are presented in the online addendum.

Cardiologic Family Study
Cardiologic screening of relatives was performed by two cardiologists (K.C. and M.M.), and included a review of the medical history, physical examination, electrocardiography (ECG; Mortara Portrait, Milwaukee, USA) and two-dimensional echocardiography (iE33 system with a S5-1 transducer; Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). If the imaging quality was poor, especially at LV apical or mid-ventricular walls, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; 1.5 Tesla scanner; Signa CV/I, GE Medical systems, Milwaukee, USA) was performed (n=26). Measuring the maximal NC and C with electronic calipers in end-systolic parasternal short axis or apical four-chamber view assessed extent and severity of noncompaction. Relatives were diagnosed with LVNC when complying to the Jenni criteria and were diagnosed with DCM or HCM when meeting the current definitions. \(^{31}\) When ECG and echocardiography were normal in relatives, LVNC or another cardiomyopathy was excluded. Other cardiologic findings observed in relatives possibly associated with cardiomyopathy included ECG with pathological Q’s (>40 ms or >25% of R-waves in at least two leads), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), complete bundle branch block, other intraventricular conduction or repolarization abnormalities.

Molecular study
DNA analysis was performed in 56 probands at the department of clinical genetics and consisted of direct sequencing of all coding regions and intron-exon boundaries of the following genes: MYH7, Myosin Binding Protein C (MYBPC3), cardiac Troponin C (TNNC1), TNNT2, cardiac Troponin I (TNNI3), cardiac-regulatory Myosin Light Chain (MYL2), cardiac-essential Myosin Light Chain (MYL3), ACTC1, α-Tropomyosin (TPM1), Cysteine- and
Glycine-rich Protein (CSRP3), Theletonin (TCAP), Calsequestrin (CASQ2), Calreticulin (CALR3), Phospholamban (PLN), TAZ, LDB3 and LMNA. One proband declined DNA analysis and no DNA was available from one patient who died aged 11 days. The parents of this patient were cardiologically unaffected and did not have a mutation.

The mutations previously associated with cardiomyopathy (LVNC or HCM) were regarded as pathogenic. Novel mutations were considered to be pathogenic when they were either truncating, splice-site or de novo mutations or fulfilled the following three criteria: 1. segregation with disease in a family, 2. Absence on 384 ethnically matched healthy control chromosomes and 3. were likely pathogenic according to prediction software (SIFT and PolyPhen). 32 DNA variants not fulfilling these criteria were considered unclassified variants (UV).

Statistics
Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS for Windows 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Unpaired student’s t-test analysis was used for continuous variables. Descriptive data for continuous variables were presented as mean ± 1 standard deviation. Chi-square analysis was used for categorical variables, and p values < 0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results
The cardiologic screening of relatives and the molecular analysis of probands and relatives combined showed that 67% (39/58) of LVNC is genetic (Table 2). The cardiologic family study identified 64% (32/50) of isolated LVNC as familial. Genetic defects were identified in 50% (16/32) of cardiologically confirmed familial LVNC. In 50% (16/32) of familial disease the genetic defect remained unknown. With extensive DNA screening we found a mutation in 41% (23/56) of all tested probands. These results clearly indicate the importance of combining cardiologic family screening for cardiomyopathy with genetic testing of LVNC patients.
Family histories reported by probands before DNA testing and cardiologic family studies were performed, failed to identify 44% (14/32) of familial disease. Only nine (53%) of the 17 adult patients with a mutation reported familial disease prior to DNA testing and cardiologic family evaluation. Familial disease was reported correctly by 8/14 (57%) adults without a mutation, and by none of the parents of children with LVNC. Mutations were observed in 6/8 children with LVNC and in 17/48 (35%) of adult probands. LVNC was associated with defects in six sarcomere, two Ca\(^{2+}\)-handling, the \textit{LMNA, LDB3} and \textit{TAZ} genes in this study. Mutations in sarcomere genes, in particular in \textit{MYH7}, were the most frequent genetic defects: 9/57 adults and 2/9 children (addendum 1-9 and 18-19). None of the \textit{MYH7} mutation carriers had neuromuscular symptoms. Eighteen (32%) probands (14 adults and four children) had a single mutation consistent with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. Two de novo mutations were observed: one in the asymptomatic father of an affected newborn and one in a young patient (addendum 20-21). Multiple pathogenic mutations occurred in 9% (5/56) of the probands. Two (22%) children (diagnosed at age 4 months and 7 years) had respectively mutations in \textit{TNNT2} and \textit{TPM1} and two different \textit{MYBPC3} mutations (addendum 22-23, figure 2). Complex genotypes in adults constituted respectively of mutations \textit{TNNT2 – LDB3} and \textit{LMNA – LDB3}. One adult proband had two \textit{TNNT2} mutations and a \textit{CASQ2} mutation. In five families UV’s were observed. Family studies are ongoing to determine the segregation in families and the phenotypic effect of multiple mutations, or UV’s especially in families where affected relatives were observed with single mutations (Addendum 14, 16 and 22).

DNA analysis was performed in 61 relatives from 20 families, confirming previous clinical diagnosis of 16 relatives: 12 with LVNC, two with HCM and two with DCM.. Four symptomatic relatives (presenting with palpitations, fatigue and shortness of breath) had a mutation and were diagnosed with LVNC by subsequent cardiologic exams. Predictive DNA testing identified a mutation in 49% (17/41) asymptomatic relatives. Cardiologic evaluation revealed that 53% (9/17) of the asymptomatic carriers had LVNC and eight carriers showed...
non-penetrance. Results of DNA analysis in relatives endorsed the pathogenicity of the mutation in 17 families. In three families with mutations only unaffected carriers were identified and in three families no cardiologic or DNA family studies have been performed yet (Table 2).

**Cardiologic studies**

There was no difference in age at diagnosis in adult probands with respect to gender (p=0.4), between adults with one or multiple mutations and those without a mutation (p=0.4) or between the probands and affected relatives (p=0.2). In families with a mutation unaffected adult carriers of a mutation were approximately the same age as the affected carriers (p=0.2). Fifty-six percent of unaffected carriers were older than 40 years, indicating non- or age-dependent penetrance of LVNC.

Similar proportions of adult probands with a single mutation and without a mutation were asymptomatic when diagnosed (29% and 16%; p=0.3) (Table 3). All adult patients with multiple mutations presented with NYHA class II and III. These differences cannot be attributed to a selection bias because clinical diagnosis of LVNC preceded DNA testing.

In nine children LVNC was diagnosed; in four before age one year; in three between 1-10 years and in two between 10-18 years. The two children with multiple mutations were severely affected with cerebral infarctions and one had a heart transplant at age seven years (Figure 2). All the children were the first in their families to be diagnosed with cardiomyopathy, cardiologic screening and DNA testing indicated familial LVNC in 89% (8/9) of their families; LVNC was diagnosed in 3/17 (18%) parents (as illustrated in Figure 3), and 3/15 (20%) were unaffected carriers.

In total cardiologic screening was performed in 145 first-degree, 43 second-degree and six more distantly related relatives (Table 1). Of the 69 (35%) relatives diagnosed with cardiomyopathy 47 had LVNC, five had HCM, 15 DCM and two congestive CM (Table 3). The majority (63%) of the relatives diagnosed with cardiomyopathy was asymptomatic. There
was no significant difference in severe complications in affected relatives (heart failure, 
arrrhythmia and thrombo-embolic events) in families without and families with a mutation 
(23% vs 13%)(OR 2.01, 
p= 0.36). Two large families without mutation had recurrence of a severe phenotype; affected 
relatives in these families had been diagnosed prior to this study.

In 34% (11/32) of familial disease, familial aggregation of LVNC, HCM and DCM was 
observed. HCM and/or DCM were diagnosed in four families with a mutation (online 
supplemental data 8, 10, 16, 23, figure 2) and in seven families without a mutation (Table 3). 
In seven families congenital heart malformations were diagnosed. In one family with an MYH7 
mutation, LVNC was associated with Ebstein’s anomaly and in two families with MYBPC3 
mutations one relative with the mutation had Fallot’s tetralogy without LVNC and one had an 
aortic coarctation but did not have a DNA test (Addendum 1, 10 and 22, figure 2). In three 
families without a mutation LVNC occurred together with a valvular pulmonic stenosis, 
ventricular septal defect, atrial septal defect type II, pulmonic atresia, patent ductus arteriosus 
or aortic coarctation in six relatives.

Discussion

The approach of this study was to combine cardiologic family studies with extensive genetic 
testing to establish a genetic classification of LVNC. The results showed that isolated LVNC is 
predominantly (67%) a genetic condition, including HCM and DCM in 11 families (34%). The 
molecular screening of a large number of genes in this study allowed expanding the genetic 
spectrum of LVNC with novel genetic defects.

Genetic defects were identified in 41% of all patients and in 50% (16/32) of the cardiologically 
confirmed familial forms and consisted of one or more mutations in 11 different genes, 
indicating that further studies are needed to find causes for the remaining familial forms of 
LVNC. Molecular diagnosis of LVNC is important because it offers reliable identification of
asymptomatic relatives at risk. In absence of an identified genetic cause for LVNC, or when relatives decline DNA testing, cardiologic screening remains the appropriate method to identify familial disease.

The proportion of familial disease in this study is higher than reported previously (18%-50%) by studies investigating the prevalence of genetic defects in adult patients or ascertaining family histories of cardiomyopathy. The systematic cardiologic family screening showed that the majority (63%) of the affected relatives were asymptomatic, explaining that family histories without cardiologic family studies, failed to identify 44% of familial disease. Intrafamilial variability and incomplete penetrance, including asymptomatic disease, as well as small family size, may contribute to underestimation of familial disease. Therefore cardiologic evaluation of at risk relatives of all LVNC patients is recommended to enhance detection of familial disease, in accordance with the current expert consensus for family screening in HCM. Familial screening for cardiomyopathies is important because early diagnosis in relatives may prevent severe complications. Nevertheless, predictive DNA testing and/or cardiologic evaluation should only take place after relatives have been well informed about possible medical benefits of early diagnosis including suitable treatment and life-style recommendations, as well as psychological and socio-economical consequences of predictive testing (particularly in countries where genetic discrimination by insurance companies or employers is not prohibited).

Similar to other familial cardiomyopathies, familial LVNC showed intrafamilial phenotypic variability, including HCM and/or DCM and reduced penetrance (ie. clinical symptoms not expressed, or expressed to a lesser degree in some persons with the familial mutation). In this study non-penetrance was observed in 8 relatives with a mutation ranging in age from 12 to 72 years. The risk of developing a cardiomyopathy in unaffected carriers is currently unknown and late onset cannot be excluded. Therefore the implications of non-penetrance include pursuing cardiologic follow up of unaffected relatives (as depicted in figure 1). Non-
penetrance of LVNC calls for the continuation of cardiologic surveillance of unaffected carriers. And for families where the genetic defect is unknown, continuation of cardiologic follow up of unaffected relatives and of family screening remains recommended until more families can be genotyped and the correct risk status of relatives can be established. Improved imaging by echocardiography and cardiac MRI has enhanced diagnosis and awareness of LVNC. However, establishing the extent to which physiologic trabeculations are pathologic remains difficult.\textsuperscript{35}

Mutations in the sarcomere genes were found in 6/8 tested affected infants and in 17/48 adult probands. Although the number of children included in this study is too small to draw conclusions on the etiology of childhood disease, molecular testing of sarcomere genes and systemic cardiologic evaluation of first-degree relatives are recommended in early onset LVNC, especially in absence of dysmorphic features or metabolic defects. Congenital heart malformations in LVNC patients should not refer from analyzing sarcomere genes. Our results endorse that co-occurrence of LVNC and congenital heart defects with and without sarcomere gene defect are not rare, warranting careful evaluation of the validity of the fourth of the Jenni diagnostic criteria\textsuperscript{15, 17, 36-38}.

Two severely affected children and three adults were compound / double heterozygous, indicating that multiple mutations appear not to be significantly more prevalent in LVNC (22\%) than in HCM (7\%) (p =0.15).\textsuperscript{39} In HCM double heterozygosity for truncating sarcomere mutations have been associated with severe congenital forms of HCM, inherited in an autosomal recessive mode.\textsuperscript{40-42} In this study double mutations were also observed in adults with LVNC. The complex genetic defects in adults involved the combination of a sarcomere gene with another gene, suggesting that two sarcomere mutations may cause a more severe phenotype than the combination of a sarcomere mutation and a non-sarcomere mutation. The epigenic effect of multiple mutations may depend on the specific defects involved. Further
studies are needed to investigate the role of additional mutations and determine whether they play a role in the phenotypic variability.

For now, the evidence that sarcomere defects are an important cause for LVNC, together with the occurrence of LVNC, HCM and DCM within families, suggests that these cardiomyopathies represent phenotypic variability within a spectrum and thus require comparable approach with respect to family screening.

The results of cardiological follow-up of families will help to understand the natural history of LVNC, to determine whether LVNC represents a congenital endomyocardial defect or may develop later in life, and eventually to attain recommendations for follow-up of relatives based upon accurate risk classification.\(^4\) The perspective of new studies investigating modifying genetic effects or genome-environment interactions to explain variability and age dependent penetrance of this phenotype is challenging.
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Table 1: Descriptives of the LVNC family study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probands</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Age of onset/screening mean yrs ± SD (range)</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Age of onset/screening mean yrs ± SD (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39 ± 17 (0 – 63)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37 ± 19 (0 – 66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44 ± 12 (19 – 63)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43 ± 13 (19 – 66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probands</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7 ± 8 (0 – 17)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6 ± 6 (0 – 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating relatives</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>41 ± 21 (0 – 77)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>43 ± 20 (0 – 78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55 ± 15 (23 – 74)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56 ± 15 (23 – 78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38 ± 18 (3 – 66)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43 ± 17 (0 – 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23 ± 15 (0 – 56)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33 ± 15 (11 – 47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-degree relatives</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>51 ± 19 (15 – 76)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48 ± 21 (6 – 74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38 ± 1 (37 – 39)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41 ± 13 (5 – 55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Cardiologic family studies and genotyping of 58 LVNC probands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proband</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Cardiologic family screening</th>
<th>De novo</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
<th>Not performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With mutation</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1†</td>
<td></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td>3†</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without mutation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1†</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without DNA analysis</td>
<td>1†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18*</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* including the family of the de novo proband, † genetic LVNC (total 39)
Table 3: Cardiologic features in LVNC families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mutation*</th>
<th>No Mutation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Probands (23)</td>
<td>Relatives (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ± SD (yrs)</td>
<td>41 ± 11</td>
<td>6 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmias</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombo-embolism</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC/C ratio ≥ 2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC/C ratio 1.0 – 1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG abnormal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal repolarisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Q</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle branch block</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV-block</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-wave inversion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVNC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive CM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of CM§</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial screening¶</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial CM†</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart defect in relative§</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Families with and without mutation
† Other include NC/C ratio between 1.0-1.9 and/or ECG anomalies.
‡ Chest pain (n=4); enlarged heart on X-ray; pre-operative screening; prenatal sonography; cardiac screening before Ritalin use.
§ Prior to cardiologic family studies.
NYHA = New York Heart Association classification; NC/C ratio: Ratio of noncompacted to compacted wall; LVH = Left Ventricular Hypertrophy; LVNC = Left ventricular noncompaction; HCM = Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy; DCM = Dilated Cardiomyopathy; CM = Cardiomyopathy
¶ Cardiologic screening / DNA analysis
§ Ebstein malformation; Fallot’s tetralogy; Atrial septal defect type II (ASDII) and ventricular septal defect (VSD); aortic coarctation in four families with a mutation. Valvular pulmonic stenosis; ASDII, VSD, pulmonic atresia; patent ductus arteriosus and aortic coarctation in four families without mutation.
Figures legends:

Figure 1 - Flow chart for LVNC family screening

Figure 2 - MYBPC3 p.Gly148Arg and c.2373dupG mutations in one family

Compound heterozygosity for two different MYBPC3 mutations in a LVNC patient diagnosed at age seven years from a family where one grandparent was previously diagnosed with HCM (addendum 23). Family studies identified a spectrum of cardiomyopathies: the asymptomatic parents were carriers, LVNC in the father and HCM in the paternal grandfather associated with the p.Gly148Arg mutation, and HCM in the maternal grandfather associated with c.2373dupG. The arrow indicates the proband. († = deceased; CVA = Cerebrovascular Accident; ND = Not determined, i.e. no cardiologic and/or molecular testing; SIDS = Sudden Infant Death Syndrome; CoAo = Coarctation of the Aorta; HTX = Heart transplant)

Figure 3 - a. + b. Cardiac MRI and echocardiography illustrating a two-layered myocardium with prominent intertrabecular recesses in the asymptomatic father with an MYBPC3 mutation (figure 2).
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1. Supplemental Data
Description of the family studies of LVNC probands with a gene defect

A. Adult probands
A1. Adult probands, single mutation
Cardiac β-Myosin Heavy Chain (MYH7)

Family 1
LVNC was diagnosed in a 27-year-old woman presenting with progressive dyspnea, fatigue and edema. In 1993 her sister died aged 27 years of a peripartum cardiomyopathy 6 days after giving birth to her third child.17 Cardiological screening of seven asymptomatic siblings revealed LVNC in five: two sisters aged 35 and 30 years, and two brothers aged 34 and 49 years. LVNC was also diagnosed in the 62-year-old father who was in NYHA class II and in the 24-year-old asymptomatic daughter of the eldest brother. DNA analysis showed the p.Leu301Gln mutation in the MYH7 gene in all tested affected relatives and was excluded in four asymptomatic and cardiologically unaffected relatives.

Two asymptomatic children (aged 12 and 15 years) of one of the affected relatives had LVNC, the 12-year-old girl was also diagnosed with an Ebstein malformation; both had the MYH7 mutation.

Family 2
A 35-year-old man was hospitalized with symptoms of congestive heart failure (extreme fatigue, dyspnea, orthopnea and palpitations). He was diagnosed with LVNC and severe systolic left ventricular (LV) dysfunction.17 He had a large thrombus in the left ventricle, which resolved completely with anti-coagulant treatment. Treatment of heart failure was successful and after four-and-a-half years of follow-up he remains asymptomatic with moderate LV dysfunction. In childhood, he had been treated for lymphoblastic leukemia with chemo- and radiotherapy (cytosine, arabinoside, methotrexate and prednisone). Cardiological family studies revealed LVNC in his asymptomatic son, brother, father and a paternal aunt. The medical records of a paternal uncle who died at age 70 years also indicated LVNC. All the living affected relatives had the p.Asp545Asn and p.Asp955Asn double mutation \textit{in cis} in the MYH7 gene. Additionally, predictive DNA analysis found both mutations in the asymptomatic and unaffected 15-year-old daughter of the proband.
and in the unaffected son of the deceased uncle of the proband, confirming the uncle to have been a carrier of the double mutation.

Family 3
A 32-year-old woman with persistent dyspnea following an episode of influenza was diagnosed with heart failure and LVNC. She had the p.Glu1350del mutation in the MYH7 gene. Her asymptomatic mother had the MYH7 gene mutation but did not show features of LVNC, although LV function was slightly impaired (Fractional shortening 27%) and she had a grade II aortic insufficiency. The asymptomatic father did not carry the mutation and did not show any signs of cardiomyopathy. The asymptomatic brother, an athlete, showed trabeculation of the apex and his ECG showed a nonspecific intraventricular conduction delay. Exercise ECG and 24-hrs ECG were normal. He did not have the familial mutation. His cardiac abnormalities are possibly related to athletic performance, or they may indicate involvement of another so far unidentified genetic cause for LVNC in this family.

Family 4
In 2005 LVNC and an MYH7 mutation (p.Arg1925Gly) were diagnosed in a 50-year-old asymptomatic woman. She was referred for cardiological screening after the sudden death of her brother at age 48 years due to congestive cardiomyopathy confirmed at autopsy. The MYH7 mutation was excluded in an asymptomatic and cardiologically unaffected 55-year-old sister and the asymptomatic 77-year-old mother of the patient. The father died of lung cancer at age 65 years. The family history suggested that the mutation was inherited from the paternal side because of a reported sudden death at age 64 of one of the paternal aunts. One of her sons showed apical trabeculation at age 37 years, not fulfilling the Jenni criteria and his ECG showed voltage criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy.

Family 5
Cardiac screening of an asymptomatic 22-year-old woman identified LVNC and a pathogenic MYH7 mutation (p.Asn1918Lys). Her father had died aged 59 years after developing a sepsis. He was diagnosed previously with heart failure. The father’s sister was also diagnosed with heart failure, and died at the age of 30 years. Three brothers of the father died suddenly, two at age 50 and one at age 63 years. An asymptomatic son and daughter of one of these brothers were diagnosed with LVNC at age 30 and 27 years respectively. They had the MYH7 mutation. The sole surviving paternal uncle who was diagnosed with LVNC at age 61 years also had the MYH7 mutation. The asymptomatic sister of the proband carried the MYH7 mutation, showing non-penetrance at age 27 years.

Family 6
A 41-year-old man presented with progressive dyspnea caused by congestive heart failure due to LVNC. An ICD was implanted. DNA analysis showed the p.Tyr1488Cys mutation in MYH7. His asymptomatic 9-year-old and 12-year-old sons were screened by a pediatric cardiologist and found normal, as were his asymptomatic mother and brother.
The eldest son carried the *MYH7* mutation, the youngest didn’t. The mother and brother refused DNA testing.

Family 7
In 2005 a 61-year-old man had complaints of palpitations and chest pain. Echocardiography showed left ventricular hypertrophy. Two years later LVNC was diagnosed on MRI. He had the p.Leu658Val mutation in *MYH7*. Family history was negative for cardiac disease or sudden death; so far no relatives participated in cardiologic screening or DNA analysis.

Family 8
ECG showed left ventricular hypertrophy which could not be confirmed by echocardiography in a 21-year-old man who had a syncope while jogging. MRI at age 33 years showed evident LVNC with a non-compact to compact (NC/C) ratio of 4.2. After this diagnosis his father, previously diagnosed with HCM at age 17 years, also after syncope while jogging, had an MRI and was subsequently diagnosed with LVNC (NC/C ratio 2.2) at the age of 60 years. He died three years later. Family history reported that the probands paternal grandmother died at 40 years of age and that a sudden death during exercise occurred in a 17-year-old paternal uncle and in a 21-year-old paternal aunt. Cardiologic screening of another paternal uncle shortly before he died at age 64 years showed no abnormalities. The proband and his affected father had the p.Ile818Asn mutation in *MYH7*.

Family 9
Standard prenatal ultrasound at 20, 22 and 23 weeks gestation showed cardiomegaly with increased wall thickness. Advanced prenatal ultrasound at 23+3 weeks gestation showed cardiomegaly, decreased contractility and increased apical myocardial wall thickness. A fetal cardiomyopathy was suspected, prenatal follow up showed a stable condition of the pump function. Subsequent cardiologic screening identified LVNC in the 34-year-old Turkish father who suffered from fatigue and excessive perspiration. The asymptomatic Turkish mother was unaffected. Their eldest (asymptomatic) son, aged 14 years, was also unaffected; the youngest son, aged 10 years who was also asymptomatic, had LVNC. The paternal mother had been diagnosed with LVNC after having chest pain approximately one year earlier in another medical center. One of her sisters suffered a sudden cardiac death at the age of 38 years. An *MYH7* mutation was identified (c.732+1G>A) in the affected father. At gestational age 37+4 weeks their son was born. DNA analysis confirmed the presence of the *MYH7* mutation. Echocardiography showed evident LVNC with cardiomegaly. Left ventricular function was not impaired and he was discharged from the hospital one day later. Follow-up will take place at the pediatric cardiology department. The two affected sons both carried the *MYH7* mutation. The asymptomatic 28-year-old brother was unaffected, he refused DNA testing; two unaffected sisters, aged 30 and 45 years, did not carry the *MYH7* mutation.

*Myosin Binding Protein C (MYBPC3)*
Family 10
LVNC was diagnosed in a 56-year-old woman. In 1980, at the age of 30 years she was diagnosed with an unspecified cardiomyopathy. In 2000 on echocardiography a dilated cardiomyopathy was diagnosed, with a slight mitral valve insufficiency. In 2001 she suffered a mesenterial thrombosis. She was also diagnosed with myelofibrosis. She had several transient ischemic attacks and a cerebral infarction at age 53 years confirmed by MRI. DNA analysis identified the Dutch HCM founder mutation c.2373dupG in the MYBPC3 gene. She died from progression of myelofibrosis at the age of 59 years. Her father died of a peritonitis aged 87 years. At autopsy his heart showed signs of hypertrophy and dilatation of the left and right ventricle and the founder mutation was confirmed in autopsy tissue. The proband’s asymptomatic son inherited the founder mutation. He has Fallot’s tetralogy, which was surgically corrected at the age of 14 months and cardiological screening at the age of 31 years did not show features of cardiomyopathy.

**Phospholamban (PLN)**

**Family 11**

After successful resuscitation due to ventricular tachycardia a 48-year-old woman was diagnosed with LVNC (NC/C ratio was 2.5). She had the p.Arg14del mutation in the PLN gene. Her father died aged 62 years. Post mortem analysis showed cardiomyopathy with a thrombus in the left ventricular apex. DNA analysis of the deceased father could not be performed. Cardiologic screening of an asymptomatic 47-year-old sister, without the PLN mutation, was normal.

**Calsequestrin (CASQ2)**

**Family 12**

A 53-year-old man from Surinam had exercise intolerance and was scheduled for an esophagal-jejunostomy due to complications of a gastrectomy. The gastrectomy, six months previous, was due to gastric necrosis, caused by an arterial thrombo-embolism. Preoperative physical examinations revealed severe LV dysfunction due to LVNC. DNA analysis identified the p.His244Arg mutation in CASQ2. He also had the unclassified variants (UVs) p.Lys204Arg in Lim Domain-Binding 3 (LDB3) and c.*12G>A in Cysteine-and-Glycine-rich protein (CSRP3). His asymptomatic mother (aged 77 years) and sister (aged 53 years) both had LVNC and the pathogenic CASQ2 mutation. The mother also had the LDB3 variant but his sister did not. The CSRP3 variant was not tested in the mother and sister.

**A2. Adult probands, multiple mutations or unclassified variants**

**MYBPC3 and a UV in cardiac α-Actin (ACTC1)**

**Family 13**

A 50-year-old man from Surinam presented with shortness of breath and palpitations, especially during stressful events. Cardiological evaluation detected non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and severe LV dysfunction. He was diagnosed with LVNC and received an ICD. DNA analysis identified the mutation p.Ala216Thr in MYBPC3 and unclassified variant *22C>T in ACTC1. Cardiologic examination of an asymptomatic daughter showed no anomalies, she did not have the MYBPC3 mutation, the ACTC1 UV has not been tested yet.
Cardiac Troponin T (TNNT2) and Lim Domain-Binding 3 (LDB3)

Family 14
In 2003 a 45-year-old woman of Moroccan descent presented with exercise related chest pain. Echocardiography showed apical hypertrophy and contrast echocardiography showed typical features of LVNC in the apex of the LV. DNA analysis identified the p.Pro87Leu mutation in TNNT2 and the p.Asp117Asn mutation in LDB3. She had six asymptomatic children who were screened cardiologically. LVNC was diagnosed in two sons (aged 22 and 27 years respectively). One of these sons had the TNNT2 but not the LDB3 mutation. The other children declined DNA testing. A third son had supraventricular tachycardia and apical ventricular hypertrophy with trabeculation with a NC/C ratio <2. No signs of cardiomyopathy were detected in three children (aged 18 to 31 years).

TNNT2 and CASQ2

Family 15
A 35-year-old woman from Curacao was diagnosed with peripartum cardiomyopathy. Pregnancy was complicated by pre-eclampsia with hypertension. Echocardiography showed LVNC with an ejection fraction of approximately 20%. She had two mutations in TNNT2 (p.Arg161His and p.Val225Leu) and one in CASQ2 (p.His244Arg). So far no relatives have participated in the family study. Therefore it was not possible to determine whether the two TNNT2 mutations are allelic or not.

Lamin A/C (LMNA) and LDB3

Family 16
This patient had palpitations at age 30 and was previously diagnosed with limb girdle muscular dystrophy type IB. At age 42 years he was admitted with atrial fibrillation, non-sustained VT’s and a total AV block. Cardiac MRI diagnosed LVNC. He received an ICD. He had the c.1608+5G>C mutation in LMNA and the p.Asp117Asn mutation in LDB3. Family history was positive for dilated cardiomyopathy (two daughters of his paternal grandmother’s sister; both confirmed LMNA mutation carriers; a son and daughter of one of these daughters; a son and grandson of another sister of the paternal grandmother) and sudden cardiac death (the proband’s sister at age 39; the probands father at age 59; a paternal uncle at age 43 and a son of the first sister of the paternal grandmother at age 40 years). His asymptomatic brother had both mutations, cardiologic evaluation showed a first-degree AV-block with frequent ventricular extrasystoles and left ventricular hypertrophy on ECG. Echocardiography and MRI did not show signs of LVNC, MRI showed an ejection fraction of 47%. One of his sisters, who reported palpitations, carried only the LMNA mutation but not the LDB3 mutation. ECG showed sinus bradycardia and a first-degree AV-block. Echocardiography did not show signs of cardiomyopathy.

Tafazzin (TAZ) and an UV in LMNA

Family 17
In 2007 a 57-year-old Surinam woman was diagnosed with cardiomegaly on X-ray after referral for persisting coughing. Echocardiography showed LVNC. She had the UV c.1968+26A>G in LMNA and the p.Phe128Ser mutation in TAZ. One of her sisters was
reported with a sudden death at the age of 57 years. Her two sons were asymptomatic, one declined DNA analysis and cardiologic screening; the other was unaffected and didn’t carry either mutation. The 71-year-old sister, suffering from dyspnea and fatigue, showed significant cardiac enlargement on an X-ray made in Surinam. She carried the UV in \textit{LMNA}; the results of the \textit{TAZ} gene analysis are not known yet.

\textbf{A3. Adult probands with unclassified variants}

Three families had only unclassified variants. Although these LVNC families did not have pathogenic mutations they were added here to complete the overview of the results.

\textbf{A. UV in CASQ2}

Preoperative cardiologic screening revealed LVNC in a 56-year-old asymptomatic woman. Cardiologic screening identified LVNC in her asymptomatic 16-year-old son, two asymptomatic brothers, aged 54 and 57 years respectively and in the 20-year-old asymptomatic daughter of the youngest brother. In childhood she was diagnosed with an aortic coarctation that was surgically corrected at the age of four years. She also had a duplicate frontal mitral valve slip. An unclassified variant was identified in \textit{CASQ2} (p.Asp398del) in the proband. This variant did not co-segregate with LVNC in the family.

\textbf{B. UV in CASQ2}

This patient presented had a CVA in the right hemisphere at age 38 years. Following the young-stroke-protocol she had a cardiological examination that revealed LVNC. Her sister was diagnosed with dilated cardiomyopathy at age 21 years. She was one of the first patients in the Netherlands to receive an ICD. She died aged 23 years of complications of an ICD infection. The 66-year-old mother was diagnosed with LVNC after diagnosis was made in her eldest daughter. She had been suffering from dyspnea. Her 65-year-old asymptomatic brother and his 40-year-old asymptomatic daughter were also diagnosed with LVNC; her 55-year-old asymptomatic sister was diagnosed with DCM. Family history further mentioned a paternal nephew who has an ICD, his brother died suddenly at the age of 40 years. The UV p.Asp398del in \textit{CASQ2} has been identified in the mother of the proband but didn’t segregate with disease in the family.

\textbf{C. UV in LMNA and LDB3}

A 48-year-old man presented with chest pain. Catheterisation showed trabeculation and left ventricular dysfunction. LVNC was confirmed by echocardiography. During admission telemetry showed non-sustained VT’s and he received an ICD. DNA analysis showed the unclassified variants c.1968+26A>G in \textit{LMNA} and p.Val118Met in \textit{LDB3}. His 24-year-old daughter, who suffered from syncope, showed LV trabeculation on echocardiography. MRI confirmed LVNC. She has the \textit{LMNA} UV, but not the \textit{LDB3} variant. Her 22-year-old asymptomatic sister was unaffected and carried the \textit{LMNA} UV; the unaffected 53-year-old sister of the proband did not carry the \textit{LMNA} UV. The \textit{LDB3} variant was not tested.

\textbf{B Childhood probands}
B1. Childhood probands, single mutations

**MYH7**

Family 18

A four-year-and-nine-month-old girl was diagnosed with LVNC when a cardiac murmur was detected at a pediatric consultation because of her congenital agenesis of the thyroid gland and urinary reflux. DNA analysis identified a novel nonsense mutation in the MYH7 gene (p.Tyr266X). The mutation was also identified in the 35-year-old asymptomatic father, who was subsequently screened and diagnosed with LVNC. His father had died suddenly in his sleep aged 60 years.

Family 19

A ten-year-old boy, experiencing persistent fatigue and dyspnea after having the flu, was diagnosed with heart failure and LVNC. DNA analysis revealed the p.Arg369Gln mutation in MYH7. Under medication his left ventricular function improved. Both parents and his twin sister are asymptomatic, they declined molecular and cardiologic testing.

**Cardiac α-Actin (ACTC)**

Family 20

LVNC was diagnosed in a six-week-old girl examined because of failure to thrive and feeding problems. Her heart showed mid-ventricular noncompaction with apical dilatation of the left ventricle and a dilated left atrium with bulging of the atrial septum to the right. Under treatment of an ace-inhibitor and digoxin her condition improved. DNA analysis showed a mutation in the ACTC gene, p.Met271Val. Her asymptomatic father had this mutation and was diagnosed with a dilated LV and RV, with apical, lateral and septal thickening without any evident crypts on echocardiography. On MRI LVNC was evident with a NC/C ratio of 15/3. The paternal grandparents did not have the mutation, identifying it as a *de novo* mutation in the father of the proband.

**α-Tropomyosin (TPM1)**

Family 21

A 16-year-old girl was suspected of liver failure. On chest X-ray she had an exceptionally large heart and echocardiography showed a poor cardiac output. She was diagnosed with LVNC. She received a left ventricular assist device before she had a heart transplant at age 17 years. DNA analysis revealed a *de novo* p.Arg160His mutation in TPM1: the mutation was excluded in both parents. Cardiologic screening of her mother was normal; her father had developed ischemic DCM after a major heart infarction eight years earlier, not related to the TPM1 mutation in the proband.

B2. Childhood probands, multiple mutations

**Compound heterozygosity of two mutations in MYBPC3**

Family 22

A 7-year-old girl presenting with fatigue and vomiting was diagnosed with severe dilated cardiomyopathy. X-ray and ECG showed signs of left ventricular hypertrophy. Four months after diagnosis she had a heart transplantation. The pathological exam of the heart showed a two-layered structure of the left ventricle with excessive trabeculations
consistent with LVNC. She suffered a cerebral infarction shortly after being diagnosed with LVNC. DNA analysis revealed two $MYBPC3$ gene mutations, the Dutch HCM founder mutation c.2373dupG and a novel mutation, p.Gly148Arg. The founder mutation was identified in the asymptomatic mother, without signs of cardiomyopathy. The maternal grandfather, diagnosed with HCM had the c.2373dupG mutation. The asymptomatic father had the p.Gly148Arg mutation and was subsequently diagnosed with LVNC. The paternal grandfather, suffered from hypertension and atherosclerosis, was diagnosed with HCM at age 76 years and had the p.Gly148Arg mutation. One asymptomatic paternal uncle (age 49 years) had the p.Gly148Arg mutation; cardiologic examination did not show signs of cardiomyopathy. The asymptomatic paternal grandmother and a paternal uncle without the mutation had no signs of cardiomyopathy. Screening of two asymptomatic brothers (ages four and nine years) of the proband did not show signs of cardiomyopathy. The eldest brother had an aortic coarctation which was surgically corrected at age 9 years (figure 3).

**Double heterozygosity of mutations in cardiac Troponin I (TNNI3) and TPM1**

**Family 23**

In 2004 a four-month-old girl was admitted because of failure to thrive, dyspnea and excessive perspiration. Echocardiography diagnosed LVNC. She suffered a cerebral infarction in the medial cerebral artery area at the age of four months. At age four years and 10 months she died waiting for a heart transplant. DNA analysis revealed the novel mutations p.Asp180Gly in the $TNNI3$ gene and c.241-12_241-11delinsTG in the $TPM1$ gene. The $TPM1$ mutation was detected in her asymptomatic, cardiologically unaffected father (age 39 years). Her mother (age 37 years) who was also asymptomatic and cardiologically unaffected had the mutation in the $TNNI3$ gene. The paternal grandparents were asymptomatic and unaffected. The paternal grandfather had the $TPM1$ mutation. This mutation was excluded in the paternal grandmother and an unaffected aunt. In the asymptomatic unaffected maternal grandparents, the $TNNI3$ mutation was identified in the grandfather and excluded in the grandmother. A maternal aunt, a professional athlete who did not have the $TNNI3$ mutation, had features of noncompaction of the left ventricle with a ratio less than 2 and a normal ejection fraction. It is unclear whether the attenuated form of trabeculation was primarily related to physical activity or indicates another yet unidentified genetic cause for LVNC in this family.
## 2. Supplemental Table

### Overview of the family studies in families with and without mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proband</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Participating Relatives (n)</th>
<th>Cardiologically affected relatives (n)</th>
<th>Cardiologically unaffected carriers (n)</th>
<th>Deceased affected relatives (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 14</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 16</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult 17</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child   18</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child   19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child   20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child   21</td>
<td>de novo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   22</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   23</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   37</td>
<td>No DNA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child   38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child   39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult   46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>No DNA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Overview of the family studies of 53 LVNC probands. Numbers 1-23 correspond to 1-23 and 24-26 to A-C in the addendum.
3. Supplemental Figures
Pedigrees of families with a mutation

Family 1

Family 2
MYH7 +/− p.Tyr1488Cys

Family 6

MYH7 +/− p.Leu658Val

Family 7

MYH7 +/− p.Ile818Asn

Family 8
Family 9

MYH7 +/- MYH7 +/- MYH7 +/- MYH7 +/- c.732+1G>A ND ND

Family 10

† 59 MYBPC3 +/- c.2373dupG MYBPC3 +/- MYBPC3 +/- ND ND

† 87 MYBPC3 +/- MYBPC3 +/- MYBPC3 +/- MYBPC3 +/- ND ND

Family 11

PLN +/- p.Arg14del PLN +/- PLN +/- ND ND

Family 12

CASQ2 +/- LDB3 ND CSRP3 ND CASQ2 +/- LDB3 ND CSRP3 ND

ND CASQ2 +/- p.His244Arg LDB3 +/- p.Lys204Arg CSRP3 +/- c.*12G>A ND CASQ2 +/- LDB3 ND CSRP3 ND
MYBPC3 +/-
p.Ala216Thr
ACTC +/-
*22C>T

MYBPC3 -/
ACTC -/

Family 13

TNNT2 +/-
p.Pro87Leu
LDB3 +/-
p.Asp117Asn / c.549-4A>G

Family 14
Family 15

- TNNT2 +/-
  - p.Arg161His / p.Val225Leu
- CASQ2 +/-
  - p.His244Arg

Family 16

- LMNA +/-
  - LDB3 -/
  - 1608+5G>C
  - LDB3 +/-
  - p.Asp117Asn
- LDB -/
- LDB3 -/
- LDB3 -/
Family 17

![Genetic diagram showing family 17 with details on genotypes and statuses of LMNA and TAZ alleles.

Family A

![Genetic diagram showing family A with details on genotypes and statuses of CASQ2 alleles.]
Family B

- CASQ2 +/-
- p.Asp398del
- † 30
- ND
- † 23
- ND
- † 85
- ND
- ND

Family C

- LMNA +/-
- 1968+26A>G
- LDB3 +/-
- p.Val118Met
- LMNA +/-
- LDB3 ND
- ND
- † 48
- † 45
- † 45
- ND
- ND
- ND
- ND
Family 18

- MYH7 +/- p.Tyr266X

Family 19

- MYH7 +/- p.Arg369Gln

Family 20

- ACTC +/- p.Met271Val

Family 21

- TPM1 +/- p.Arg160His
Family 22

- MYBPC3 +/-
- MYBPC3 +/- p.Gly148Arg
- MYBPC3 +/- c.2373dupG
- MYBPC3 +/- p.Gly148Arg / c.2373dupG

Family 23

- TPM1 +/-
- TPM1 +/- p.241-12_241-11delinsTG
- TNNI3 +/- p.Asp180Gly